## BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF 3 DICK VELLEMA, 4 PCHB No. 84-271 Appellant, 5 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, ٧. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND 6 ORDER NORTHWEST AIR POLLUTION AUTHORITY, 7 Respondent. 8 9 This matter, the appeal of the imposition of a civil penalty in 10

This matter, the appeal of the imposition of a civil penalty in the sum of \$100 for burning prohibited materials in an outdoor fire, came on for informal hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board; Wick Dufford (presiding) and Gayle Rothrock, on December 17, 1984, in Bellingham, Washington.

Appellant appeared and represented himself. Respondent Northwest Air Pollution Authority (NWAPA) appeared by its attorney Ken Evans.

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted and examined. Oral argument was heard. From the testimony heard and

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

exhibits examined, the Board makes these

0

## FINDINGS OF FACT

Τ

Appellant Vellema operates a business involving, among other things, demolition and land clearing work. Respondent agency, NWAPA, is a municipal corporation, authorized by law to carry out a program of air pollution prevention and control, with jurisdiction in Whatcom County, where the events at issue took place. NWAPA furnished to the Board a certified copy of the pertinent sections of its effective regulations.

ΙI

On the morning of August 7, 1984, in response to a telephoned complaint, NWAPA's inspector arrived at a lot on Bender Street in Lynden, Washington, at about 9:30 a.m., and observed there the smoldering remains of a fire. In the residue the inspector saw several burnt cans which looked like they might have been paint cans. She also noticed some lacquered wood, partially burned—the vestiges of a piano. The day was overcast and gray, but it was not raining. There was no black smoke; no offensive odor. It appeared that the fire had been burning for some time.

III

The fire the inspector observed was conducted by the appellant and his employees as a part of a clearing job for a new roadway. The job involved getting rid of old structures, vegetation and debris which included blackberry vines, tree stumps and branches, old fence posts,

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER PCHB No. 84-271

pallet boards, some stalls, a horse barn and a small cinder block building with a cedar shingle roof. The building contained a few pieces of furniture, a small amount of bedding, some work benches, and an old piano. In the building also were tires, hoses, a barrel of used oil, six cans of used oil, and a number of cans of a water-proofing material. Outside was the shell of a pick-up truck with no tires, no interior, no oil, no gasoline.

IV

The burning of material on the site took place in stages, with the stalls, vegetation and pallets being burned first. The burning in question involved flammables left from the cinder block building after appellant had removed everything which he believed was prohibited material for an open fire. The tires, the used oil, the hoses—all were disposed of elsewhere. The piano was dismantled and all the cherry wood on the exterior saved for reuse. The only part left was the interior board with the strings attached. The building was smashed and then whatever was left that would burn was burned. The cans of water-proofing material were not removed.

V

The fire was begun on August 6, 1984, at about 11:00 a.m. under appellant's supervision. By 2:30 p.m. it was burning low. It rained intermittently on the blaze and it continued to smolder through the night and into the next day. Appellant testified that the fire made a whitish smoke, not a dense black cloud.

 $20^{\circ}$ 

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER PCHB NO. 84-271  There is no evidence of the composition of the water-proofing material left in the fire. Appellant stated that he believed that it was a chemical product, not petroleum based, and not so far as he knew, flammable.

### VII

A notice of violation was mailed to appellant on the afternoon of August 7, 1984, asserting a violation of NWAPA Regulations, Section 501.22 for burning prohibited materials. A notice of civil penalty was mailed to appellant on August 23, 1984, assessing a fine of \$100. The penalty notice stated that the fine was being imposed because of a violation of "SECTION 501 - OUTDOOR FIRE (Burning Prohibited Materials)."

#### VIII

Appellant received the notice of civil penalty on August 24, 1984. His notice of appeal to this Board arrived in an envelope postmarked September 19, 1984. The envelope was not stamped-in by the Board until October 10, 1984. During this period a mix-up occurred which delayed the pick-up of some of the Board's mail deposited in its outside mail box. The testimony of appellant that he mailed the notice on September 19 is corroborated by the postmark. Accordingly, the Board believes that its receipt of the appeal occurred prior to September 23, 1984.

IΧ

Any Conclusion of Law which is deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,

adopted as such.

From these Findings of Fact the Board comes to these
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Ι

NWAPA's motion to dismiss this appeal as untimely is denied. The Board has jurisdiction over these persons and these matters. RCW 43.21B.

ΙI

The Legislature of the State of Washington has enacted the following policy on outdoor fires:

It is the policy of the state to achieve and maintain high levels of air quality and to this end to minimize to the greatest extent reasonably possible the burning of outdoor fires. Consistent with this policy, the legislature declares that such fires should be allowed only on a limited basis under strict regulation and close control. RCW 70.94.740.

In elaboration of this policy the legislature described certain kinds of outdoor burning that should be allowed under regulation. The focus is on the burning of residues of natural vegetation. RCW 760.94.750, 755, 770. The legislature also provided a listing of the kinds of burning which are prohibited outright. The relevant prohibitions are as follows:

No person shall cause or allow any outdoor fire:
(1) Containing garbage, dead animals, asphalt,
petroleum products, paints, rubber products, plastics
or any other substances other than natural vegetation
which normally emits dense smoke or obnoxious odors...
(Emphasis added.) RCW 70.94.775.

III

NWAPA's implementation of the underlying statute is set forth in

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER PCHB No. 84-271 Section 501 of its regulations. The prohibitions, as applicable here, are stated as follows:

501.2 It shall be unlawful for any person to cause or allow any outdoor fire: ...

501.22 Containing prohibited materials, including, but not limited to, rubber products, asphaltic products, tires, crankcase oil, petroleum wastes, plastics, garbage, dead animals or other like material. (Emphasis added.)
501.23 That emits dense smoke or creates offensive odors or creates a nuisance when burned...

The specific listings in the regulation and in the statute are not precisely the same, but do appear to cover the same ground in a generic sense. The term "other like material" in Section 501.22 must be interpreted in a way which is consistent with the statute and therefore is limited to substances (other than natural vegetation) which normally emit "dense smoke or obnoxious odors."

IV

The evidence does not disclose that the fire in question contained any of the materials specifically prohibited by Section 501.22. The agency did not prove that the water-proofing material left in the fire was a product falling into a prohibited category. The agency did not prove that the fire emitted dense smoke or obnoxious odors. The burning of a single lacquered board from a demolished plano was not shown to have this effect and such burning otherwise does not come within the language of the specific prohibitions.

V

In the appeal of a civil penalty it is the burden of the agency to

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER PCHB No. 84-271

prove that a violation in fact occurred. NWAPA did not carry that burden in this case.

VI

It may be that greater precision in describing what is prohibited in outdoor burning by NWAPA would be helpful to the public. For example, painted boards, paints themselves, or petroleum products other than wastes are not expressly listed among the prohibited items. If the agency wants to eliminate them from open fires, it would make sense to say so directly. In the instant case the alleged violator thought he had removed all prohibited materials before the burn. NWAPA did not show that he failed. But this case points up that the regulations as presently written are unclear as to exactly what materials can legitimately be left in a burn pile.

VII

Because the civil penalty was assessed solely for violating Section 501.22, no consideration was given to whether appellant might have violated some other provisions of the regulations, such as those concerned with requirements for getting permits from NWAPA.

VIII

Any Finding of Fact which is deemed a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such.

From these Conclusions of Law the Board enters this

**~** -

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER PCHB No. 84-271

# ORDER

NWAPA's Notice of Imposition of Civil	Penalty directed to Vellema
Construction and dated August 23, 1984, i	s reversed and the civil
penalty of \$100 is vacated.	

DONE this  $12^{7}$  day of March, 1985, at Lacey, Washington.

POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD

WICK DUFFORD, Lawyer Member

GAXLE ROTHROCK, Vice Chairma

LAWRENCE J. FAULK, Chairman

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER PCHB No. 84-271